

A FINE-GRAINED HYBRID CPU-GPU ALGORITHM FOR BETWEENNESS CENTRALITY COMPUTATIONS

¹Ashirbad Mishra, ¹Sathish Vadhiyar, ²Rupesh Nasre, ^{3,4}Keshav Pingali

¹Computational and Data Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India ³Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, University of Texas at Austin, USA

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India ⁴Department of Computer Science, University of Texas at Austin, USA ashirbad@grads.cds.iisc.ac.in, vss@cds.iisc.ac.in (http://mars.serc.iisc.ernet.in/), rupesh@cse.iitm.ac.in, pingali@cs.utexas.edu

MOTIVATION AND CONTRIBUTION

Introduction

- Centrality metrics like Betweenness quantify importance of a node with respect to the entire network.
- It is used on social networks, studying structures in biological networks and for identifying hubs in transportation networks.
- Computing exact Betweenness of a network is computationally expensive. **Our Method**
- We developed a novel fine-grained CPU-GPU hybrid algorithm for Betweenness Centrality that harnesses the multi-source property of BC computations.
- Our hybrid approach gives 80% improvement in performance, and 80-90% less CPU-GPU communications w.r.t Totem's hybrid strategy based on the BSP

EXPERIMENTATION AND RESULTS

Setup

- We perform experiment on a heterogeneous system with a dual octo-core Intel Xeon and a NVIDIA Kepler K20.
- We used directed graphs from datasets of 10th Dimacs challenge, The University of Florida Sparse Matrix Collection and the Stanford Network Analysis Platform (SNAP). E.g USA-Full (|V|=23M, |E|=57M), delaunay_n25(|V|=33M, E|=167M), webedu(|V|=10M, E|=55M), etc.
- The CPU forward phase is based on frontier-based vertex-parallel algorithm of Madduri et al.[3]. The GPU part is based on frontier-based edge-parallel BFS code of LoneStar-GPU version 2.0 [4].
- We compared our implementation(**HyBIR**) with
 - ✓ CPU standalone and GPU standalone codes based on our hybrid

approach.

BETWEENESS AND BRANDES ALGORITHM

Betweenness Score Calculation

- For a graph G = (V, E), where V is the set of vertices and E, the set of edges. Let σ_{st} denote the number of shortest path from vertex 's' to vertex 't', where $s \neq t$, and $\sigma_{st}(v)$ denote the number of such paths passing through vertex 'v'.
- So $\delta_{st}(v) = \sigma_{st}(v) / \sigma_{st}$, where $\delta_{st}(v)$ denotes the pair-wise dependency between of the pair 's' and 't' on the vertex 'v.'
- The Betweenness Centrality score of the vertex is given by

$$BC(v) = \sum_{s_{\neq v_{\neq}} t_{\in V}} \delta_{st}(v)$$

Brandes Algorithm

- The forward phase consists of a BFS or SSSP traversal with 's' as the source. For each vertex the number of shortest paths and predecessor list is calculated.
 - The number of shortest paths for 'v' from source 's' is denoted as σ_{sv} .
 - 'u' is a predecessor of 'v' if 'u' lies on the shortest path from 's' to 'v'.
- The backward phase traverses the vertices in descending order of their distance from 's'. The dependency $\delta_{s}(v)$ is calculated by

$$\delta_{s}(v) = \sum_{u:v \in Ps(u)} \frac{\sigma_{sv}}{\sigma_{su}} (1 + \delta_{s}(u))$$

, where $P_{s}(u)$ is the predecessor's list of u:

- algorithm.
- ✓ **Totem[2]**, a hybrid framework which follows with CPU standalone and GPU standalone codes based on our hybrid algorithm.
- We have implemented a variable partitioning technique which partitions the graphs based on the CPU-GPU processing capability.

Results

• In all the graphs HyBIR out performs the CPU standalone (except nlpkkt(s)). However performs worse than GPU standalone(except for graphs which GPU can't execute).



• HyBIR outperforms TOTEM for graphs due to 80-90 % less communication.

HvBIR vs Totem for Road Network Graphs

At the end of backward phase, the Betweenness score of each vertex 'v' is calculated by

$$BC[v] = \sum_{s_{\neq} v \in V} \delta_{s}(v)$$

HYBRID CPU-GPU ALGORITHM

We try to find the shortest paths that lie across the partitions. The rest of shortest paths can be found out asynchronously in each partition. **Initialization phase:**

- The graph is partitioned between CPU and GPU in a flexible ratio based on their processing power.
- A border matrix is computed for each part which stores the relative distance between each pair of border vertex in that partition.

Forward phase:

- Initial step: A source 's' is selected; BFS/SSSP is performed in the same partition as 's'. A border vector of distance values is stored.
- **Iterative step**: The border vector and the border matrix from the initialization phase are used to find the exact distances values.





Totem synchronizes between the parts for all the levels w.r.t source 's' for both forward and backward phase(e.g. in the graph USA-Full there are 6093 levels.). For HyBIR synchronization occurs for the number of edges in the edge cut for both backward and forward phase. (e.g. for the graoh USA-Full the synchronization ranges from 2 to 6 iterations).





CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK



- **Relaxation step**: The distances of the border
 - vertices is used to asynchronously compute the
 - distances of all the vertices in each partition without communication.

Backward phase:

- In each partition the CPU/GPU will start the backward phase from the minimum level in the partition.
- Each partition will wait if it finds a border vertex at the current level which requires data in the other part. • The partition will then request the data for that vertex without hindering the
 - execution of the other partition.



- Our result shows up to 80% improvement w.r.t the state of art hybrid implementation, Totem.
- Our hybrid approach gives better performance than CPU-only version and explore graphs not able to fit in GPU memory.
- Our semi asynchronous divide and conquer based border aware technique can be used for exploring big data graphs with multiple partitions in an efficient manner.

REFERENCES

[1] U. Brandes, "A Faster Algorithm for Betweenness Centrality," The Journal of Mathematical Sociology, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 163–177, 2001.

[2] A. Gharaibeh, L. B. Costa, E. Santos-Neto, and M. Ripeanu, "A Yoke of Oxen and a Thousand Chickens for Heavy Lifting Graph Processing," in International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques, PACT '12, Minneapolis, MN, USA - September 19 - 23, 2012, 2012, pp. 345–354.

[3] K. Madduri, D. Ediger, K. Jiang, D. Bader, and D. Chavarria-Miranda, "A Faster Parallel Algorithm and Efficient Multithreaded Implementations for Evaluating Betweenness Centrality on Massive Datasets," in Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE International Symposium on Parallel & Distributed Processing, ser. IPDPS '09, 2009. [4] "Lonestargpu," <u>http://iss.ices.utexas.edu/?p=projects/galois/lonestargpu</u>.