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## Abstract

A predicate encryption (PE) can be thought of as emulation of predicate function $R: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ in the en crypted domain. In case of a predicate encryption, given a key $K_{x}(x \in \mathcal{X})$ one can decrypt the ciphertext $C_{y}(y \in \mathcal{Y})$ if $R(x, y)=1$. We studied predicate encryptions from different aspects.

## . Available encodings

(a) Pair Encoding due to Attrapadung
(b) Predicate Encoding due to Wee.
(c) The encodings focus on the exponent polynomials of the available schemes.
(d) We observed certain equivalence relation between the encodings.
2. Integrating pair encoding with dual system group.
3. CCA-secure predicate encryption
(a) Schemes in both Attrapadung and Wee are only CPA-secure
(b) Delegation and verifiability based CPA-to-CCA generic conversion is inefficient.
(c) We propose direct efficient conversion.

## Introduction

For a Predicate Encryption (PE) for predicate func tion $R$,

- If ciphertext is $C_{y}^{M}$ ( $M$ and $y$ being the message and ciphertext-attribute)
- If key is $K_{x}$ ( $x$ being key-attribute)
- Can decrypt if $R(x, y)=1$

IBE is earliest PE with equality predicate function.


## Examples

1. Access Control Mechanism

- A mail is encrypted for PhD students or Professors.
- No ME/MSc student should be able to decrypt it.
- Predicate function is access control matrix.

2. Searchable Encryption:

- Office database is encrypted in cloud.
- To search who gets salary more than 30,000
- Predicate function is $\geq$
$[1,4]$ simplified the construction and the proof of CPA-secure predicate encryption by defining pair encoding and predicate encoding respectively. [3] defined dual system group (DSG) to codify the proof technique also. Available conversion techniques to construct a CCA-secure predicate encryption from CPA-secure predicate encryption is not efficient.


## Main Objectives

1. Finding relation between both the encodings is of theoretical interest.
2. Integrating pair encoding to dual system group allows one to design black-box security proof
3. Available conversion mechanisms for CPA-secure PE to CCA-secure PE generically, is inefficient due to requirement of excess pairing evaluation (which is considered to be the costliest operation)

## Mathematical Tool

For prime order $(p)$ group $G_{1}=\left\langle g_{1}\right\rangle$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle g_{2}\right\rangle, e: G_{1} \times G_{2} \rightarrow G_{T}$ is bilinear, non-degenerate and efficiently computable map.

## Predicate Encryption

A predicate encryption scheme for predicate function $R$ is defined by following probabilistic polynomial time algorithms

- Setup: Generates $p k$ and $m s k$. Publishes $p k$
- Keygen $(m s k, x)$ : On input key-attribute $x$, generates secret key $K_{x}$.
- Enc $(p k, M, y)$ : Given ciphertext-attribute $y$, outputs ciphertext $C_{y}^{M}$ as encryption of $M$
- $\operatorname{Dec}\left(K_{x}, C_{y}^{M}\right)$ : Outputs $M$ if $R(x, y)=1$.


## Pair Encoding

A Pair Encoding $P$ for a predicate function $R$ consists of four deterministic algorithms,

- Param $(\kappa) \rightarrow n$ which is number of common variables $\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ in EncK and EncC.
- $\operatorname{EncK}(\mathbf{x}, N) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}}=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m_{1}}\right) ; m_{2}\right)$ where each $k_{i}$ is a polynomial of $m_{2}$ own variables ( $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{2}}$ ), $n$ common variables and msk $\alpha$

$$
k_{i}\left(\alpha,\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{2}}\right),\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right)=b_{i} \alpha+\sum_{j \in\left[1, m_{2}\right]} b_{i j} r_{j}+\sum_{j \in\left[1, m_{2}\right]} b_{i j k} r_{j} h_{k}
$$

- EncC $(\mathbf{y}, N) \rightarrow\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}}=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w_{1}}\right) ; w_{2}\right)$ where each $c_{i}$ is a polynomial of $\left(1+w_{2}\right)$ own variables
( $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{w_{2}}$ ) and $n$ common variables.


## $c_{i}\left(\alpha,\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{w_{2}}\right),\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{j \in\left[0, w_{2}\right]} a_{i j} s_{j}+\sum_{j \in\left[0, w_{2}\right]} a_{i j} s_{j} h_{k}$

- Pair $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m_{1} \times w_{1}}$ such that $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{E c}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\top}=\alpha s_{0}$.


## Predicate Encoding

A predicate encoding $\mathcal{P}$ for a predicate function $R$ consists of five [2] deterministic algorithms ( $s \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{rE}, \mathrm{kE}, \mathrm{sD}, \mathrm{rD}$ ) satisfying following properties:

- linearity: $\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}, \mathrm{sE}(y, \cdot), \mathrm{r}(x, \cdot), \mathrm{kE}(x, \cdot), \mathrm{sD}(x, y, \cdot), \mathrm{rD}(x, y, \cdot)$ are $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-linear
- restricted $\alpha$-reconstruction: $\forall(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ such that $R(x, y)=1$ and $\forall \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$,
$\mathrm{sD}(x, y, \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{E}(y, \mathbf{w}))=\mathrm{rD}(x, y, \mathrm{rE}(x, \mathbf{w}))$ and $\mathrm{r} \mathrm{D}(x, y, \mathrm{k} \mathrm{E}(x, \alpha))=\alpha$.

Dual System Group
Dual system group consists of three abelian groups $\left(\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{G}_{T}\right)$, an admissible bilinear map $\hat{e}: \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{H} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{G}_{T}$ and six [3] randomized algorithms:

- $\operatorname{SampP}\left(1^{\kappa}, 1^{n}\right)$ : outputs public parameter $p p$ and secret parameter $s p$. $p p$ contains common variables and a linear map $\mu$ on $\mathbb{H}$ and $s p$ contains a special element $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\mu(\tilde{h})=1$.
- SampGT: $\operatorname{Im}(\mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{T}$.
- $\operatorname{SampG}(p p):$ Output $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{G}^{n+1}$.
- SampH $(p p):$ Output $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$.
- $\widehat{\operatorname{SampG}}(p p, s p):$ Output $\hat{\mathrm{g}} \in \mathbb{G}^{n+1}$
- $\widehat{\operatorname{SampH}}(p p, s p):$ Output $\hat{\mathrm{h}} \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$
with following properties:
- projective: $\forall h \in \mathbb{H}, s \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, $\operatorname{SampGT}(\mu(h) ; s)=\hat{e}\left(\operatorname{SampG}_{0}(p p ; s), h\right)=\hat{e}\left(g_{0}, h\right)$
- associative: $\forall \mathbf{g}=\left(g_{0}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ and $\forall \mathbf{h}=\left(h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ and $\forall i \in[1, n], \hat{e}\left(g_{0}, h_{i}\right)=\hat{e}\left(g_{i}, h_{0}\right)$.

CCA-secure predicate encryption from pair encoding
 where $\mathbf{H}_{i} \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times(d+1)}, i \in[1, n+2]$, B, $, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{G}_{d+1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{G L}_{d}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times 1}$, $\mathbf{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\tilde{\mathrm{D}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \mathbf{Z}=\mathbf{B}^{-\top} \mathbf{D}$, random $\mathcal{H}:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $g_{1}, g_{2} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}_{1} \times \mathbb{G}_{2}, g_{T}=e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$, $n \leftarrow \operatorname{Param}(\kappa)$

- Keygen(x, MSK): Outputs secret key $\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}=\left\{g_{2}^{k_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{R},\left.\mathbf{H}\right|_{n}\right)}\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{G}_{2}^{(d+1) \times 1}\right)^{m_{1}}$ where $\left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}} ; m_{2}\right) \leftarrow$ $\operatorname{EncK}(\mathbf{x}, N)$ for $k_{i}:=b_{i} \boldsymbol{\alpha}+\sum_{j \in\left[1, m_{2}\right]} b_{i j} \mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathbf{r}_{j}}{0}+\sum_{j \in\left[1, m_{2}\right]} b_{i j k} \mathbf{H}_{k}^{\top} \mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathbf{r}_{j}}{0}$ for $i \in\left[1, m_{1}\right]$ and $\mathbf{R}=$
$\left(\binom{\mathbf{r}_{1}}{0}, \ldots,\binom{\mathbf{r}_{m_{2}}}{0}\right) \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times m_{2}}$
 $\left(\left\{g_{1}^{c_{i}\left(\mathbf{S},\left.\mathbf{H}\right|_{n}\right)}\right\}_{i} \in\left(\mathbb{G}_{1}^{(d+1) \times 1}\right)^{w_{1}}, M \cdot g_{T}^{\alpha^{\top} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{s}_{0}}{0}}\right)$ for $c_{i}:=\sum_{j \in\left[0, w_{2}\right]} a_{i j} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{s}_{j}}{0}+\sum_{\substack{j \in\left[0, w_{2}\right] \\ k \in[1, n]}} a_{i j k} \mathbf{H}_{k} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{s}_{j}}{0}$ for $i \in\left[1, w_{1}\right], \eta=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{cpa}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}} ; w_{2}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncC}(\mathbf{y}, N)$
- $\operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}\right)$ : It first defines modified secret key $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}=\left(K_{0}, \Phi \cdot \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{x}}[1], \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{x}}[2], \ldots, \tilde{K}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[w_{1}\right]\right)$ where $K_{0}=g_{2}^{-\mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathbf{t}}{0}}, \Phi=g_{2}^{\left(\eta \mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{\top}+\mathbf{H}_{n+2}^{\top}\right) \mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathbf{t}}{0}}$ and $\tilde{K}_{\mathbf{x}}\left[i^{\prime}\right]=\prod_{i}\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}[i]\right)^{E_{i i^{\prime}}}$ for $\eta=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{cpa}}\right), \mathbf{t} \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}$ and $\mathbf{E} \leftarrow \operatorname{Pair}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, N)$. Then it computes $e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{\alpha^{\top} \mathbf{B}\left(\begin{array}{c}\binom{\mathbf{s}_{0}}{0}\end{array}=e\left(C_{0}^{\prime}, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}[0]\right) \quad \prod \quad e\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\mathrm{cpa}}[i], \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathbf{x}}[i]\right), ~(1)\right.}$


## Results

Pair Encoding and Predicate Encoding

- Equivalent if we restrict $m_{2}=1$ and $w_{2}=1$ in pair encoding
- Decryption matrix $\mathbf{E}$ in pair encoding $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{rD}(x, y, \cdot) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathrm{sD}(x, y, \cdot)^{\top}\end{array}\right)$


## Pair Encoding and Dual System Group

- Black-box integration needs SampG and SampH is run $\left(1+w_{2}\right)$ and $m_{2}$ times respectively.
- We present correctness based on fundamental theorem of finite abelian group and proof based on extended assumptions.

CCA-secure Predicate Encryption

- Exploits the regular encoding property of pair encoding
- We reuse randomness $g_{1}{ }^{\mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{s}_{0}}{0}}$ to compute $C_{0}^{\prime}=g_{1}\left(\eta \mathbf{H}_{n+1}+\mathbf{H}_{n+2}\right) \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{s}_{0}}{0}$
- During decryption $\eta$ is recomputed to compute $g_{2}\left(-Z\binom{\mathbf{t}}{0},\left(\eta \mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{\top}+\mathbf{H}_{n+2}^{\top}\right) \mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathbf{t}}{0}, \mathbf{0}, \ldots, \mathbf{0}\right)$ for $\mathbf{t} \stackrel{U}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d}$ and $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times(d+1)}$ are somewhat orthogonal.
- Decryption now needs only 1 unit extra pairing to check validity of ciphertext.


## Forthcoming Research

- Instantiate (weakly) attribute hiding predicate encryption using pair encoding and DSG as black-box
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## Introduction

■ Predicate Encryption (PE) emulates of predicate function $(R: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow\{0,1\})$ in encrypted domain.

- One can decrypt ciphertext $C_{\mathrm{y}}$ if the key $K_{\mathrm{x}}$ satisfies the predicate function (i.e. $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=1$ ).
- Different predicate encryptions for different predicate functions.
- Equality predicate : Identity-Based Encryption.
- Inner Product predicate : Inner Product Encryption.
- Access Control predicate : Attribute-Based Encryption.
- Applications: encrypted database search, controlling access to an encrypted document etc.
- Ciphertext and keys are usually elements of certain groups.
- Focus on processing of
- Are abstract forms to achieve PE.


## Introduction

- Predicate Encryption (PE) emulates of predicate function $(R: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow\{0,1\})$ in encrypted domain.
- One can decrypt ciphertext $C_{\mathrm{y}}$ if the key $K_{\mathrm{x}}$ satisfies the predicate function (i.e. $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=1$ ).
- Different predicate encryptions for different predicate functions.
- Equality predicate: Identity-Based Encryption.
- Inner Product predicate : Inner Product Encryption.
- Access Control predicate : Attribute-Based Encryption.
- Applications: encrypted database search, controlling access to an encrypted document etc.
■ Ciphertext and keys are usually elements of certain groups.
■ Available abstract encodings (pair and predicate encoding)
- Focus on processing of exponents of those group elements.
- Are abstract forms to achieve PE.


## Our Achievements

■ Certain equivalence relation between pair and predicate encoding.

■ Generic integration of pair encoding with dual system group.

- Efficient and generic conversion of CPA-secure PE to CCA-secure PE in prime order groups.


## Equality Predicate: Identity-Based Encryption



Network
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## Equality Predicate: Identity-Based Encryption



## Network



C=Enc(pk, "Bob",'Hello World')


## Equality Predicate: Identity-Based Encryption



$$
\operatorname{Dec}\left(C, K_{-}\left\{{ }^{\prime \prime} E v e "\right\}\right)=\perp
$$

Network


## Equality Predicate: Identity-Based Encryption



## Chosen Ciphertext Security

■ Adversaries are usually active and can tamper with the ciphertext.

- In certain situation it can get decryption of certain messages of its choice.
- Chosen ciphertext security prevents such strong adversaries
- Verifiability based generic CPA-to-CCA conversions are available.


## Chosen Ciphertext Security

■ Adversaries are usually active and can tamper with the ciphertext.

- In certain situation it can get decryption of certain messages of its choice.
- Chosen ciphertext security prevents such strong adversaries

■ Is therefore harder to achieve.
■ Verifiability based generic CPA-to-CCA conversions are available.

## Example Scenario

We concentrate on Ciphertext-Policy Attribute-Based Encryption (CP-ABE) by Lewko.

- ABE emulates access control predicate function $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$

■ $\mathbf{x}$ is attribute set (e.g. Student, Professor, PhD, CSA etc)

- $\mathbf{y}$ is access control matrix $(A, \rho)$ where $\rho$ defines authorized parties.
■ Lewko's CP-ABE is secure against passive adversaries (i.e. CPA-secure).
■ Verifiability based CPA-to-CCA conversion
■ Checks ciphertext validity.
■ Such checking needs $\mathcal{O}(|x|)$ (extra) pairing which is the costliest operation.


## Predicate Encryption from Pair Encoding

For a predicate family $R: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$,

- Setup $\left(1^{\kappa}\right)$ : Generates public parameters $P P$ and master secret $m s k$ using $\mathbf{h}=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{Param}(\kappa)$. $P P$ is published and $m s k$ is kept secret.
■ Keygen $(m s k, \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X})$ : Generates corresponding secret key $K_{\mathbf{x}}$ using $\left(\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{m_{1}}\right) ; m_{2}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncK}(\mathbf{x}, N)$ where each $k_{i}$ is a polynomial of $m_{2}$ own variables $\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{2}}\right), n$ common variables and msk $\alpha$.

$$
k_{i}\left(\alpha,\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{m_{2}}\right),\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right)=b_{i} \alpha+\sum_{j \in\left[1, m_{2}\right]} b_{i j} r_{j}+\sum_{\substack{j \in\left[1, m_{2}\right] \\ k \in[1, n]}} b_{i j k} r_{j} h_{k} .
$$

- Encrypt $(P P, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}, M)$ : Generates $C_{\mathbf{y}}^{M}$ using $\left(\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{w_{1}}\right) ; w_{2}\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncC}(\mathbf{y}, N)$ where each $c_{i}$ is a polynomial of $\left(1+w_{2}\right)$ own variables $\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{w_{2}}\right)$ and $n$ common variables.

$$
c_{i}\left(\left(s_{0}, \ldots, s_{w_{2}}\right),\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{j \in\left[0, w_{2}\right]} a_{i j} s_{j}+\sum_{\substack{j \in\left[0, w_{2}\right] \\ k \in[1, n]}} a_{i j k} s_{j} h_{k}
$$

- Decrypt $\left(K_{\mathbf{x}}, C_{\mathbf{y}}^{M}\right)$ : Outputs $M$ by using $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{m_{1} \times w_{1}} \leftarrow \operatorname{Pair}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ if $R(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=1$, else outputs $\perp$.


## Our Conversion Technique

From Lewko's CPA-secure CP-ABE $\left(\mathbf{y}=\left(A \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n \times k}, \rho:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\right)\right.$, $\mathbf{x}=S$ ), we instantiate CCA-secure predicate encryption as follows,

- Setup $(N, \kappa): g_{1}, g_{2} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{G}_{1} \times \mathbb{G}_{2}, g_{T}:=e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), n \leftarrow \operatorname{Param}(\kappa)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{H}:=\left(\mathbf{H}_{0}, \mathbf{H}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{H}_{n}\right) \text { where } \mathbf{H}_{i} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{\rho}^{(d+1) \times(d+1)}, i \in\{0, \ldots, n\} \text {. } \\
& \mathbf{B}, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \alpha \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{L}_{d+1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{L}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times 1} \\
& \mathbf{D}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\mathrm{D}} & 0 \\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{Z}:=\mathbf{B}^{-\top} \mathbf{D} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MSK }=g_{2}^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Our Conversion Technique

From Lewko's CPA-secure CP-ABE $\left(\mathbf{y}=\left(A \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{n \times k}, \rho:\{1, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}\right)\right.$, $\mathbf{x}=S$ ), we instantiate CCA-secure predicate encryption as follows,

- Setup $(N, \kappa): g_{1}, g_{2} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{G}_{1} \times \mathbb{G}_{2}, g_{T}:=e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), n \leftarrow \operatorname{Param}(\kappa)$

$$
\mathbb{H}:=\left(\mathbf{H}_{0}, \mathbf{H}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{H}_{n}, \mathbf{H}_{n+1}, \mathbf{H}_{n+2}\right) \text { where } \mathbf{H}_{i} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times(d+1)}
$$

$$
i \in\{0, \ldots, n, n+1, n+2\} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{B}, \tilde{\mathbf{D}}, \alpha \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{L}_{d+1}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{G}_{\mathbb{L}_{d}}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right) \times \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{(d+1) \times 1} \\
& \mathbf{D}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\mathbf{D}} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right), \mathbf{Z}:=\mathbf{B}^{-\top} \mathbf{D} \text { and chooses collision resistant hash } \mathcal{H}:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{p} \text {. } \\
& P K=
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MSK }=g_{2}^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Our Conversion Technique

- Keygen $\left(\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), M S K\right):\left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}} ; m_{2}=1\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncK}(\mathbf{x}, N)$

$$
\mathbf{R}=\binom{\mathrm{r}}{0} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_{\rho}^{(d+1) \times m_{2}} \text { and outputs secret key } \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}=g_{2}^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbb{H})}
$$

$$
\text { such that } K_{1}=g_{2}^{\alpha+\mathbf{H}_{0}^{\top} \mathbf{z}\binom{r}{0}}, K_{2}:=g_{2}^{\mathbf{z}\binom{r}{0}} \text {, and } K_{3, i}=g_{2}^{\mathbf{H}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{z}\binom{r}{0}} \text { for } i \in[n]
$$

- $\operatorname{Enc}(\mathbf{y}=(A, \rho), M, P K):\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}} ; w_{2}=n+k-1\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncC}(\mathbf{y}, N)$
and $C_{0}=g_{1}^{\mathbf{B}\binom{s}{0}}, C^{\prime}=M \cdot e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{\alpha^{\top} \mathbf{B}\binom{s}{0} \text {. } . . . . ~}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{S}=\left(\binom{s}{0},\binom{s_{1}}{0}, \ldots,\binom{s_{n}}{0},\binom{v_{2}}{0}, \ldots,\binom{v_{k}}{0}\right) \stackrel{\&}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_{\rho}^{(d+1) \times\left(w_{2}+1\right)} \\
& \text { defines } \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{cpa}}=\left(C^{\prime}, g_{1}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathbf{S}, \mathbb{H} \|_{n}\right)}\right) \\
& \mathbf{H}_{0}\left(A_{\ell, 1} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathrm{~s}}{0}+\sum_{j \in[2, k]} A_{\ell, j} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{v}_{j}}{0}\right)+\mathbf{H}_{\rho(\ell)} \mathbf{B}\binom{s_{\ell}}{0} \\
& C_{2, \ell}=g_{1}^{\mathbf{B}\binom{s_{\ell}}{0}} \text { for } \ell \in[1, n]
\end{aligned}
$$

## Our Conversion Technique

- Keygen $\left(\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), M S K\right):\left(\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}} ; m_{2}=1\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncK}(\mathbf{x}, N)$
$\mathbf{R}=\binom{\mathrm{r}}{0} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_{\rho}^{(d+1) \times m_{2}}$ and outputs secret key $\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{x}}=g_{2}^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{x}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{R}, \mathbb{H})}$ such that $K_{1}=g_{2}^{\alpha+\mathbf{H}_{0}^{\top} \mathbf{z}\binom{r}{0}}, K_{2}:=g_{2}^{\mathbf{z}\binom{r}{0}}$, and $K_{3, i}=g_{2}^{\mathbf{H}_{i}^{\top} \mathbf{z}\binom{r}{0}}$ for $i \in[n]$
- $\operatorname{Enc}(\mathbf{y}=(A, \rho), M, P K):\left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}} ; w_{2}=n+k-1\right) \leftarrow \operatorname{EncC}(\mathbf{y}, N)$

$$
\mathbf{S}=\left(\binom{s}{0},\binom{s_{1}}{0}, \ldots,\binom{s_{n}}{0},\binom{v_{2}}{0}, \ldots,\binom{v_{k}}{0}\right) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbf{Z}_{\rho}^{(d+1) \times\left(w_{2}+1\right)}
$$

defines $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {cpa }}=\left(C^{\prime}, g_{1}^{\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{y}}\left(\mathbf{s},\left.\mathbb{H}\right|_{n}\right)}\right)$

$$
g_{1}^{\mathbf{H}_{0}}\left(A_{\ell, 1} \mathbf{B}\binom{s}{0}+\sum_{j \in[2, k]} A_{\ell, j} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{v}_{j}}{0}\right)+\mathbf{H}_{\rho(\ell)} \mathbf{B}\binom{s_{\ell}}{0}, C_{2, \ell}=g_{1}^{\mathbf{B}\binom{s_{\ell}}{0}} \text { for } \ell \in[1, n]
$$

and $C_{0}=g_{1}^{\mathbf{B}\binom{s}{0}}, C^{\prime}=M . e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{\alpha^{\top} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathrm{s}}{0} \text {. } . ~ . ~}$
then it computes $\eta=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {cpa }}\right)$ and defines $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}=\left(C_{0}^{\prime}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {cpa }}\right)$
where $C_{0}^{\prime}=g_{1}^{\left(\eta H_{n+1}+\mathbf{H}_{n+2}\right) \mathbf{B}\binom{s}{0}}$

## Our Conversion Technique

- $\operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}\right):$ Computes $\tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}\left[i^{\prime}\right]=\prod_{i \in\left[m_{1}\right]}\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{x}}[i]\right)^{E_{i i^{\prime}}}$ for $\mathbf{E} \leftarrow \operatorname{Pair}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, N)$.
defines $\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathrm{x}}=\left(\tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}[1], \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}[2], \ldots, \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}\left[w_{1}\right]\right)$.
 unblind $C^{\prime}$.

Correctness: $\prod_{i \in\left[1, w_{1}\right]} e\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {cpa }}[i], \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathrm{x}}[i]\right)=e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{\alpha^{\top} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathrm{s}}{0}}$

Therefore $\frac{C^{\prime}}{\prod_{i \in\left[1, w_{1}\right]} e\left(C_{y}^{\text {cpp }}[i], \hat{k}_{x}[i]\right)}=M$

## Our Conversion Technique

- $\operatorname{Dec}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}, \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{x}}\right)$ : Computes $\tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}\left[i^{\prime}\right]=\prod_{i \in\left[m_{1}\right]}\left(\mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{x}}[i]\right)^{E_{i i^{\prime}}}$ for $\mathbf{E} \leftarrow \operatorname{Pair}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, N)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { defines } \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathrm{x}}=\left(K_{0}, \phi \cdot \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}[1], \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}[2], \ldots, \tilde{K}_{\mathrm{x}}\left[w_{1}\right]\right) \text { where } K_{0}=g_{2}^{-\mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathrm{t}}{0}} \text { and } \\
& \Phi=g_{2}^{\left(\eta \mathbf{H}_{n+1}^{\top}+\mathbf{H}_{n+2}^{\top}\right) \mathbf{Z}\binom{\mathrm{t}}{0}} \text { for } \eta=\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {cpa }}\right) \text { and } \mathrm{t} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{d} \text {. } \\
& \text { Then it computes } e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{\alpha^{\top}} \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathrm{s}}{0}=e\left(C_{0}^{\prime}, \hat{\mathbb{K}}_{\mathrm{x}}[0]\right) \prod_{i \in\left[1, w_{1}\right]} e\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{y}}^{\text {cpa }}[i], \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{\mathrm{x}}[i]\right) \text { which is }
\end{aligned}
$$ used to unblind $C^{\prime}$.



$$
e\left(C_{0}^{\prime}, \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{x}[0]\right)=e\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{-\left(\mathrm{t}^{\top} 0\right) \mathbf{z}^{\top}\left(\eta H_{n+1}+\mathbf{H}_{n+2}\right) \mathbf{B}\binom{\mathbf{s}}{0}}
$$

Therefore $\frac{C^{\prime}}{e\left(C_{0}^{\prime}, \hat{K}_{x}[0]\right)} \prod_{i \in\left[1, w_{1}\right]} e\left(C_{y}^{\text {cpp }}[i], \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{x}[i]\right)=M$
We see that number of extra pairing computation in this scheme is 1 .

## Conclusion

- Efficient and generic conversion of CPA-secure PE to CCA-secure PE in prime order groups.
- Pair and predicate encodings are equivalent in some restricted

> Generic integration of pair encoding with dual system group results in a simpler proof.
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